UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,515	05/12/2006	Masaharu Shimakawa	03500.111239.	6547
	7590 03/02/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of	f the Americas	SEO, JUSTIN		
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2861		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/579,515	SHIMAKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JUSTIN SEO	2861		

zororo uno i ming or uni appour zino.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUSTIN SEO	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	•	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u></u>	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence of NOTE: The proposed amendment presents new combinations of they may have multiple antecedents that require time for scope of independent claims 1 and 16, and thus do not a mendment does incorporate a dependent claim into independent on independent claim 1. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	nations of claims such as 1+5+2 or 1+ claims, such as the ones above, may in r further consideration. Furthermore, materially reduce or simplify the issues dependent claim 1, it also changes the	5+9. Even though the nclude mutually exclusi the proposed amendmes for appeal. While the	ve elements or ents change the proposed
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to construct the sufficient reasons who it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n ot the status ot the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/MATTHEW LUU/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100223

Application No.